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## SUMMARY

Knowledge of the anatomy of this region is extremely important in determining the surgical approach in craniovertebral junction pathologies and tumors. Therefore, the distance of the tuberculum pharyngeum (TP), which is located in the center of this region, to other close and significant anatomical structures is important. This study was conducted using a total of 64 craniums. The width of the pars basilaris at the level of the TP and the distance from the TP to anatomical formations such as opisthion, basion, protuberentia occipitalis externa, condylus occipitalis, canalis caroticus, processus styloideus, foramen stylomastoideum, processus mastoideus and ala vomeris were measured. The difference in measurements between sexes and sides were evaluated. The distances from the TP to opisthion, protuberentia occipitalis externa, condylus occipitalis, left canalis caroticus, proccesus styloideus, foramen stylomastoideum and proccesus mastoideus were statistically higher in males than in females. On the other hand, no significant difference was observed between the sexes in the width of the pars basilaris at the level of the TP and in the distance between the TP to the basion, right canalis caroticus and ala vomeris. There was no statistically significant difference between the distances from TP to

[^0]condylus occipitalis, canalis caroticus, proccesus styloideus, foramen stylomastoideum, proccesus mastoideus and ala vomeris in both sides and both sexes. In male and female anatomical struc craniums, the pars basilaris ossis occipitalis placed between the vomer and foramen magnum are similar in size. Although the distance of TP to important tures was significantly higher in males than in females, it was observed that the distances of TP to the right and left anatomical structures were symmetrical in all craniums. We think that knowledge of the cranium base morphometry and its bilateral symmetry will guide the interventions to be made here by taking the opposite side as a model.
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## INTRODUCTION

Tuberculum pharyngeum (TP), which gives attachment to the pharyngeal raphe, the highest attachment of the superior pharyngeal constrictor, is a small elevation found on the midline of the pars basilaris ossis occipitalis (the basilar part of the occipital bone) (Standring, 2016). On the extracranial surface, the pharyngeal tubercle is located in the midline around the junction of the

[^1]middle and lower clivus. There may be a small bone rise between the TP and the anterior margin of the foramen magnum, and this structure is called the crista pharyngea, but it is a very rare occurrence (Hofmann and Prescher, 2012).

The base of the cranium provides the support and protection of vital organs such as the brain and cerebellum, as well as forming the transition point of important vascular and neural structures locatedbetweenthecraniumandtheuppercervical region. (Sharma and Garud, 2011). Traumainduced disorders, tumors, vascular system diseases and degenerative diseases are frequently seen at the craniocervical junction, and treatment for these lesions are usually surgical (Zhong et al., 2018; Patel et al., 2016). Surgical approaches such as transoral-transpharyngeal directly reach the ventral midline of the basis cranii externa, and at the same time prevent damage to some important anatomical structures such as the sixth through the twelfth cranial nerves, internal carotid artery, internal jugular vein, inferior petrosal sinus, and inferior petroclival vein located in this region. These approaches afford direct and relatively safe avenues with few vital neurovascular structures in the midline plane. In lesions that require a posterior approach, the distance between the TP and the surrounding structures is surgically important (Wang et al., 2016; Hitotsumatsu et al., 1999; Patel et al., 2016).

In this study, we measured the distance between the TP and other anatomical formations, so as to determine the surgical confidence interval and to prevent damage during the surgical treatment of lesions or tumors that will occur in this area. In addition to radiological studies in this area, measurements obtained from studies on dry bones will provide healthier results and guide the surgeons.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted using a total of 64 randomly selected adult craniums (22 female and 42 male) without any structural deformities obtained from the bone archive in the Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, Aydin Adnan Menderes University. Measurement of the closest
distance from the TP (Fig. 1, Table 1), as the main point of reference, to important structures were made with the help of an electronic clip. At the beginning of the study, 10 randomly selected craniums were independently evaluated by two observers. A day later, the same measurements were repeated and the intra-observation reliability was evaluated.


Fig. 1.- The location of the measurements in Table 1 in the basis cranii externa (Bone archive of Department of Anatomy).

## Statistical analysis

Whether or not quantitative variables conform with normal distribution was examined using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Groups were compared by using two-independent samples t - tests for normally distributed variables and Mann Whitney U test for variables that did not show normal distribution. Dependent variables with normal distribution were compared with paired $t$ test, and non-normally distributed dependent variable were compared with Wilcoxon $T$ test. Statistically, p $<0.05$ values were considered significant.

Table 1. The closest measurements between tuberculum pharyngeum and anatomic structures.

| Pars basilaris width at the level of tuberculum pha- <br> ryngeum (TP-PB) | A |
| :--- | :---: |
| Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and <br> opisthion (TP-O) | B |
| Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and ba- <br> sion (TP-B) | C |
| Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and pro- <br> tuberentia occipitalis externa (TP-POE) | D |
| Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and con- <br> dylus occipitalis (TP-CO) | E |
| Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and cana- <br> lis caroticus (TP-CC) | F |
| Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and pro- <br> cessus styloideus (TP-PS) | G |
| Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and fora- <br> men stylomastoideum (TP-FS) | H |
| Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and pro- <br> cessus mastoideus (TP-PM) | I |
| Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and ala <br> vomeris (TP-AV) | J |

## RESULTS

In the study, the distance of the TP to 9 important anatomical structures and the pars basilaris width were evaluated using 64 craniums of known sexes (Fig. 1, Table 1).

In Table 2, the distances from the TP to opisthion, protuberentia occipitalis externa, condylus occipitalis, left canalis caroticus, proccesus styloideus, foramen stylomastoideum and proccesus mastoideus were statistically higher in males than in females. On the other hand, no significant difference was observed between the sexes in the width of the pars basilaris at the level of the TP and in the distance between the TP to the basion, right canalis caroticus and ala vomeris.

In Tables 3 and 4, there was no statistically significant difference between the distances from TP to condylus occipitalis, canalis caroticus, proccesus styloideus, foramen stylomastoideum, proccesus mastoideus and ala vomeris in both sides and both sexes.

## DISCUSSION

For surgeons and clinicians, the structures in the basis cranii externa are very important. There are also studies evaluating clivus and condylus occipitalis morphometrically (Kızılkanat et al.,

2006; Ozer et al., 2011; Ji et al., 2012). There are studies investigating the structure of basis cranii not only in the bone tissue in that region, but also in connective tissue and its properties related to membranous structures (Krmpotić-Nemanić et al., 2006; Aparna et al., 2019). As a matter of fact, even the distance of fossa navicularis, which is a rare variation on craniums, to TP has been measured (Cankal et al., 2004). TP is the central point of the nasopharynx and together with the anatomical structures adjacent to it, it is important in both breathing and swallowing (Krmpotić-Nemanić et al., 2006; Aparna et al., 2019). Despite the importance of the region, the insufficient number of studies led us to evaluate this area in morphometric detail.

In a study conducted in Croatia, KrmpotićNemanić et al. (2006) evaluated the distance of osseous epipharynx to anatomical formations in 60 basis cranii externa. Similar to our study, they measured the distance of the TP to ala vomeris, the basion, and the pars basilaris width at the level of the tuberculum pharyngeum. They did not observe any significant sex-related difference and reported the data only by age distribution. Likewise, we did not detect any significant difference between the sexes in these three parameters. In addition, they recorded the distance between the TP and the basion as $8.6 \pm 2.0$ mm , the width in the pars basilaris as $26.8 \pm 5.5$ mm and the distance between the ala vomeris as $17.27 \pm 3.8 \mathrm{~mm}$. In our study, the distance between the TP and the basion was $12.58 \pm 1.40 \mathrm{~mm}$ in males and $11.97 \pm 1.27 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females, the width of the pars basilaris was $24.92 \pm 2.72 \mathrm{~mm}$ in male and $24.11 \pm 2.72 \mathrm{~mm}$ for female and the distance from both sides of ala vomeris was $17.60 \pm 2.17 \mathrm{~mm}$ for the right-side and $17.49 \pm 2.15 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the left-side in males, and $18.50 \pm 1.55 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the right-side and $18.50 \pm 1.41 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the left-side in females. We thought that the reason for the difference in the values obtained might be due to the difference based on population and sex.

A study (Erdem et al., 2018) where twenty-six adult dry skulls were measured reported that the distance between TP and canalis caroticus was $26.7 \pm 2.00 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the left-side and $27.5 \pm 2.22$ mm on the right-side, while the distance between
condylus occipitalis was $16.4 \pm 1.59 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the left-side and $16.3 \pm 1.79 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the right-side.

In our study, we determined that the distance between the TP and condylus occipitalis on the right-side was $16.76 \pm 1.70 \mathrm{~mm}$ in males and $15.38 \pm 1.65 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females, on the left-side was $16.97 \pm 2.82$ in males and $15.28 \pm 1.40 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females; the distance between the TP and the canalis caroticus on the right-side was $31.80 \pm 2.19$ mm in males and $31.60 \pm 2,79 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females, on the left was $31.81 \pm 2.16 \mathrm{~mm}$ in males and $30.27 \pm 1.44$ mm in females. There is no significant difference between the sides in these studies. While they did not discriminate sex for these measurements in their studies, we found a significant difference between sexes.

In contrast to Zhong et al. (2018) who, without sex discrimination, found the distance between the TP and opisthion as $10.23 \pm 0.55 \mathrm{~mm}$ in the measurements on the basis cranii externa made on CT, our study found that this distance was $46.34 \pm 6.83 \mathrm{~mm}$ in males and $44.68 \pm 2.85 \mathrm{~mm}$ in
females and that it was statistically significantly lower in females.

Ji et al. (2012) performed a radiological study on 40 craniums, and found that the distance to the anterior part of the condylus occipitalis was $15.65 \pm 1.65 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the left-side and $15.60 \pm 1.91$ mm on the right-side and the distance of the TP to the canalis caroticus was $24.26 \pm 2.05 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the left-side, $26.25 \pm 2.26 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the right-side. In our study, we determined that the distance of the TP to the condylus occipitalis to the anterior part as $16.76 \pm 1.70 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the right-side, $16.97 \pm 2.82$ mm on the left-side for males, $15.38 \pm 1.65 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the right-side and $15.28 \pm 1.40 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the left-side for females; the distance of the TP to the canalis caroticus was $31.80 \pm 2.19 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the right-side and $31.81 \pm 2.16 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the left-side for males, and $31.60 \pm 2.79 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the right-side and $30.27 \pm 1.44 \mathrm{~mm}$ in the left-side for females. Our study differs from those similar studies, as they did not separate the sexes of bones and there is difference in measurement methods used.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of quantitative variables in male and female groups (mm).

|  |  | Male | Female |  | p |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mm | Min.-Max. | Mean $\pm$ SD | Min.-Max. | Mean $\pm$ SD | 0,306 |
| TP-PB | $17,89-33,21$ | $24,92 \pm 2,72$ | $20,79-29,91$ | $24,11 \pm 2,72$ | 0,005 |
| TP-O | $4,01-52,79$ | $46,34 \pm 6,83$ | $40,49-49,00$ | $44,68 \pm 2,85$ | 0,125 |
| TP-B | $9,09-17,12$ | $12,58 \pm 1,40$ | $10,22-15,25$ | $11,97 \pm 1,27$ | 0,008 |
| TP-POE | $57,31-98,13$ | $87,57 \pm 6,88$ | $59,77-93,61$ | $81,57 \pm 8,88$ | 0,008 |
| TP-CO right | $13,82-20,29$ | $16,76 \pm 1,70$ | $18,46-12,09$ | $15,38 \pm 1,65$ | 0,003 |
| TP-CO left | $13,33-31,82$ | $16,97 \pm 2,82$ | $11,78-17,19$ | $15,28 \pm 1,40$ | 0,772 |
| TP-CC right | $25,54-36,69$ | $31,80 \pm 2,19$ | $27,35-38,02$ | $31,60 \pm 2,79$ | 0,013 |
| TP-CC left | $27,09-36,67$ | $31,81 \pm 2,16$ | $27,35-33,02$ | $30,27 \pm 1,44$ | 0, |
| TP-PS right | $37,31-46,64$ | $41,65 \pm 2,31$ | $34,72-43,03$ | $40,00 \pm 2,27$ | 0,017 |
| TP-PS left | $36,05-49,00$ | $41,63 \pm 2,50$ | $34,77-45,37$ | $39,97 \pm 3,03$ | 0,041 |
| TP-FS right | $40,50-49,36$ | $43,70 \pm 2,19$ | $39,47-45,37$ | $41,60 \pm 1,61$ | 0,001 |
| TP-FS left | $37,26-49,77$ | $43,41 \pm 2,40$ | $39,23-46,57$ | $41,46 \pm 2,09$ | 0,005 |
| TP-PM right | $25,42-63,21$ | $56,37 \pm 5,59$ | $48,53-58,32$ | $53,77 \pm 2,60$ | 0,001 |
| TP-PM left | $53,20-63,55$ | $57,45 \pm 2,39$ | $50,48-58,25$ | $53,78 \pm 2,07$ | 0,001 |
| TP-AV right | $14,17-22,77$ | $17,60 \pm 2,17$ | $14,95-20,89$ | $18,50 \pm 1,55$ | 0,132 |
| TP-AV left | $13,59-22,08$ | $17,49 \pm 2,15$ | $16,59-20,78$ | $18,50 \pm 1,41$ | 0,086 |

TP-PB: Pars basilaris width at the level of tuberculum pharyngeum, TP-O: Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and opisthion, TP-B: Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and basion, TP- POE: Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and protuberentia occipitalis externa, TP-CO: Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and condylus occipitalis, TP-CC: Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and canalis caroticus, TP-PS: Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and processus styloideus, TPFS: Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and foramen stylomastoideum, TP-PM: Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and processus mastoideus, TP-AV: Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and ala vomeris.

Table 3. Descriptive statistics of the dependent measurements in the male group (mm).

|  | Male |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Right (Mean $\pm$ SD) | Left (Mean $\pm$ SD) | p |
| TP-CO | $16,76 \pm 1,70$ | $16,97 \pm 2,82$ | 0,596 |
| TP-CC | $31,80 \pm 2,19$ | $31,81 \pm 2,16$ | 0,859 |
| TP-PS | $41,65 \pm 2,31$ | $41,63 \pm 2,50$ | 0,924 |
| TP-FS | $43,70 \pm 2,19$ | $43,41 \pm 2,40$ | 0,361 |
| TP-PM | $56,37 \pm 5,59$ | $57,45 \pm 2,39$ | 0,245 |
| TP-AV | $17,60 \pm 2,17$ | $17,49 \pm 2,15$ | 0,545 |

TP-CO: Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and condylus occipitalis, TP-CC: Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and canalis caroticus, TP-PS: Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and processus styloideus, TP-FS: Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and foramen stylomastoideum, TP-PM: Distance between uberculum pharyngeum and processus mastoideus, TP-AV: Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and ala vomeris.

Table 4. Descriptive statistics of the dependent measurements in the female group (mm).

|  | Female |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Right (Mean $\pm$ SD) | Left (Mean $\pm$ SD) | p |
| TP-CO | $15,38 \pm 1,65$ | $15,28 \pm 1,40$ | 0,363 |
| TP-CC | $31,60 \pm 2,79$ | $30,27 \pm 1,44$ | 0,080 |
| TP-PS | $40,00 \pm 2,27$ | $39,97 \pm 3,03$ | 0,943 |
| TP-FS | $41,60 \pm 1,61$ | $41,46 \pm 2,09$ | 0,731 |
| TP-PM | $53,77 \pm 2,60$ | $53,78 \pm 2,07$ | 0,980 |
| TP-AV | $18,50 \pm 1,55$ | $18,50 \pm 1,41$ | 0,974 |

TP-CO: Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and condylus occipitalis, TP-CC: Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and canalis caroticus, TP-PS: Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and processus styloideus, TP-FS: Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and foramen stylomastoideum, TP-PM: Distance between uberculum pharyngeum and processus mastoideus, TP-AV: Distance between tuberculum pharyngeum and ala vomeris.

In Turkey, Aktas et al. (2013)'s study on cadavers identified the distance between the pharyngeal tubercle with arteria carotis interna as $38.95 \pm 4.67$ mm. Since we used dry craniums in our study, we measured the distance of the TP to the canalis caroticus. We determined this distance as $31.80 \pm 2.19$ mm on the right-side and $31.81 \pm 2.16 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the left-side for male, $31.60 \pm 2.79 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the right-side and $30.27 \pm 1.44 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the left-side for females. We think that morphometric studies performed on soft tissues affect the measurement values.

Although there are many studies on facial asymmetry (Al-Rudainy et al., 2019; Taylor et al., 2014; Sasaki et al., 2020; Linden et al., 2018), studies evaluating neurocranial asymmetry are almost nonexistent. Tuberculum pharyngeum, which is located in the center of the region where surgical interventions are frequently performed is surrounded by structures that are
of vital importance. The fact that these important structures are at a similar distance on both sides of this point will increase the confidence interval of the interventions to be made by taking the opposite side as a reference.

## CONCLUSION

The part of the occipital bone between the vomer and foramen magnum is similar in size in the male and female craniums. When the TP reference point was taken, it was seen that the distance to the anatomical structures was statistically longer in males, but it was symmetrical in all craniums. Knowing the distance of the tuberculum pharnygeum to many important anatomical structures will provide morphometric data for surgical interventions performed in this region. We think that morphometric studies of bone are anthropologically and surgically important.
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