Morphometrics of the stapedius muscle: A systematic review of cadaveric studies

Bhagath Kumar Potu¹, Soubhagya R. Nayak², Ahmed A. Jaradat³

¹Department of Anatomy, College of Medicine & Medical Sciences, Arabian Gulf University, Kingdom of Bahrain

² Department of Anatomy, College of Medicine & J.N.M Hospital, WBUHS, Kalyani, West Bengal, India

³ Department of Family and Community Medicine, College of Medicine & Medical Sciences, Arabian Gulf University, Kingdom of Bahrain

SUMMARY

The stapedius is the smallest skeletal muscle of the body, which has a significant importance in otology as one of the important muscles for sound wave modulation. Despite its functional importance, there is very little data available on the morphometrics of this muscle. Therefore, we have systematically reviewed the morphometrics of the stapedius muscle, specifically the length and width of the muscle belly and tendon. A systematic literature search was performed in databases such as Medline, Google Scholar, PubMed, ScienceDirect, SciELO and otology textbooks using search terms such as "stapedius muscle", "stapedius tendon", "morphometrics", AND "size OR "length" OR "width", which yielded more than 239 article links. All articles by their title and abstract were screened to see whether they included information relevant to our search terms. We also noted the distance between the stapedius tendon and the facial nerve. Of the eleven articles that met the inclusion criteria, only six studies comprising 106 stapedius muscles had the morphometric data. Pooled data (mean±SD, mm) of the stapedius showed: (i) total length 4.91 ± 0.88 , (ii) muscle belly length 3.77 \pm 0.63, (iii) tendon length 1.24 \pm 0.42, (iv) belly and tendon width 1.29 \pm 0.20, and 0.40 ± 0.09 respectively, and (v) distance of the stapedius tendon with the facial nerve 1.26 ± 0.24 . Our pooled analysis from the available literature generated more representative values on the size of the stapedius muscle belly and tendon. This information might provide guidance in creating/reconstructing 3-dimensional models of the stapedius muscle and tendon in surgical intervention for otosclerosis.

Key words: Stapedius – Morphometrics – Systematic review

INTRODUCTION

The stapedius (ST) is the smallest skeletal muscle of the body, and consists of a muscle belly and tendon. The muscle belly originates from the inner wall of the pyramidal prominence, a tiny projection on the mastoid wall of the tympanic cavity, and the tendon attaches to the stapes neck (Figs. 1A, 1B) (Standring et al., 2008). Pieter Paaw (1615) first described the structure of the stapedius with more emphasis on an ossicle in its tendon. The ST muscle develops from the second pharyngeal arch by two anlagens: one for the tendon, which is derived from the internal segment of the interhyale; and another for the belly of the ST muscle, located in the second pharyngeal arch, medial to the facial nerve near

Corresponding author: Potu Bhagath Kumar, Ph.D, Department of Anatomy, College of Medicine and Medical Sciences, Arabian Gulf University, Kingdom of Bahrain. E-mail: potubk@agu.edu.bh

Submitted: August 11, 2020. Accepted: October 14, 2020

interhylae (Rodriguez-Vazquez et al., 2010). He further postulated that the developmental variations of ST belly and tendon are likely due to the degree of angulation persistence between these two structures. Such structural variations of ST are accounting up to an incidence of 4.4% (Wright and Etholm, 1973).

According to Wright and Etholm (1973), the ST could be ectopic (along the facial nerve in facial canal), doubled, completely absent (or) lacking its tendon or belly. This muscle is important along with others for sound wave modulation. Its synchronized contraction with the tensor tympani muscle in a reflex manner dampens the high intensity and low frequency sounds to prevent excessive movements of the stapes; therefore, its paralysis leads to hyperacusis (Standring et al., 2008; Rubini et al., 2020). The morphometrics on the ST belly and tendon have gained so much importance in otology ever since Shea (1958) first introduced the procedure of stapedotomy. Since then many studies have discussed whether it is important to preserve the ST tendon during this procedure (Colletti et al., 1988; Gros et al., 2003; Arnold and Häusler, 2007; Elovikov et al., 2014; Rubini et al., 2020) and its possible role in conductive hearing loss and cholesteatoma (Zawawi et al., 2014; Rubini et al., 2020). Despite difficult access, considering the clinical importance of the stapedius, a few studies

in the literature have measured the dimensions of belly and tendon (Cheng and Gan, 2007; Ramirez-Aristeguieta et al., 2010; Beger et al., 2019; Prasad et al., 2019; Wojciechowski et al., 2020; Rubini et al., 2020; Beger et al., 2020). However, the morphometric data on ST remains vague and scarce. Hence, we felt it was important to have a quantitative synthesis from the available literature. Therefore, the aim of this systematic review is to generate more representative values on the size of ST muscle belly, tendon and their possible association with ethnicity, gender and side.

We comprehensively reviewed the literature that is available online regarding the morphometry of the ST muscle and critically interpreted the pooled data. We hope that these quantitative data on the dimensions of muscle belly and tendon may give a broader perspective to surgeons in avoiding iatrogenic injuries of the muscle and its intimate relations, such as the facial nerve (FN).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Search methodology, extraction and selection criteria of studies

A thorough search was made mainly using the electronic databases such as Medline, Google Scholar, PubMed, ScienceDirect, SciELO and otologic textbooks. The keywords for search used



Fig. 1.- A) Schema of middle ear cavity showing the situation and extent of stapedius muscle. S- Stapes; PE- Pyramidal Eminence; Fn- Facial nerve. B) Schema of middle ear cavity showing the extent of stapedius muscle belly (Arrow "a") and stapedius tendon (Arrow "b"). S- Stapes; Fn- Facial nerve.

were as follows: "stapedius muscle"; "stapedius tendon"; "morphometrics" AND "size OR length OR width OR diameter". We have limited our search criteria to the studies performed only on the cadavers. We have excluded all the case reports, case series, letters to editors and brief communications. The mean pooled ST belly length, ST tendon length, ST belly width, ST tendon width, total stapedius length, the mean pooled side and gender-based values were set to be the outcomes of our study. And also in the same articles, we have searched for the information on distance between ST tendon and FN. The references of the included articles were checked and duplicates were deleted. We have not set restrictions on date, language or age of the studies. Initial screening on titles and abstracts of the articles were done to obtain the full-text articles. The data collection was done using the guidelines of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and MetaAnalyses (PRISMA) checklist (Moher et al., 2009).

Data analysis

Data extracted included the country of study, sample size and measurements related to the size of ST muscle belly and tendon. The mean \pm SD were calculated for all the outcomes. Then the mean values of all the outcomes were analyzed based on the geographical/population category using SPSS Version 23.0.

RESULTS

Outcome of Search

A total of 6 studies met the inclusion criteria (Fig. 2). One study that investigated the ST on cadavers was excluded (Prasad et al., 2019) due to incomplete data analysis on the measurements. The specimens are in range from 24.2 weeks fetus to 92 years age. We included a fetal study in our analysis due to a fact that the stapes and ST muscle do not grow according to increasing gestational weeks and attain the adult size in fetal period itself (Beger et al., 2019). The total sample comprised 106 ST muscles. Four studies (Cheng and Gan, 2007; Ramirez *Aristeguieta et al., 2010;* Beger et al., 2019; Beger et al., 2020) reported their gender distribution with 38 males and 19 females. Tables 1 and 2 show the characteristics of the included studies and outcomes of the individual studies. Table 3 show the measurement methods of the ST muscle in the included studies.



Fig 2.- Flowchart showing the application of selection criteria as per PRISMA guidelines.

Mean pooled ST belly length and width

Three studies (Ramirez Aristeguieta et al., 2010; Wojciechowski et al., 2020; Beger et al., 2020) reported the mean of ST belly length as 3.77 ± 0.63 mm, ranging from 2.98 ± 0.51 mm to 4.58 ± 0.34 mm. The sample from Poland had a lowest length value while the Colombian sample having a highest length value. Two studies (Wojciechowski et al., 2020; Beger et al., 2020) have reported the mean of ST belly width was 1.29 ± 0.20 mm, ranging from 1.19 ± 0.31 mm (Turkey) to $1.44 \pm$ 0.32 mm (Turkey).

Mean pooled ST tendon length and width

Five studies (Cheng and Gan, 2007; Ramirez Aristeguieta et al., 2010; Beger et al., 2019; Wojciechowski et al., 2020; Beger et al.,2020) reported the mean of ST tendon length as 1.24 ± 0.42 mm, ranging from 0.99 ± 0.09 mm (USA) to 1.40 ± 0.10 mm (Columbia). Four studies (Cheng and Gan, 2007; Beger et al., 2019; Wojciechowski et al., 2020; Beger et al., 2020) have reported the mean width of ST tendon was 0.40 ± 0.09 mm, ranging from 0.35 ± 0.12 mm (Poland) to 0.45 ± 0.08 mm (Turkey).

Mean pooled total length of Stapedius

Four studies (Ramirez *Aristeguieta et al., 2010;* Wojciechowski et al., 2020; Rubini et al., 2020; Beger et al., 2020) reported the mean of total length of stapedius as 4.91 ± 0.88 mm, ranging from 4.10 ± 0.90 mm (Italy) to 5.91 ± 0.37 mm (Columbia).

Mean pooled distance between ST tendon and FN

Only two studies (Beger et al., 2020 and Wojciechowski et al., 2020) have reported the mean distance between stapedius tendon and FN and it was 1.26 ± 0.24 mm, ranging from 1.14 ± 0.13 mm (Turkey) to 1.35 ± 0.30 (Poland).

Outcome values of Gender and Side

Two studies (Ramirez *Aristeguieta et al., 2010 and* Beger et al., 2020) reported SM belly length-width, tendon length-width, total stapedius length and one study (Beger et al., 2020) reported distance

Table 1. Characteristics of the included studies.

between ST and FN for both sex. No significant differences (P>0.05) were found between male and female morphometrics of the stapedius.

Three studies (Ramirez Aristeguieta et al., 2010; Beger et al., 2019; Beger et al., 2020) reported SM belly length-width, tendon length-width, total SM length and one study (Beger et al., 2020) reported distance between ST and FN for both sides. No significant differences (P>0.05) were found between right and left stapedius.

DISCUSSION

Considering its location, size, and relationship with the adjacent structures, the ST muscle has always been a challenge for otologists. Due to its position, the ST muscle is known to pose procedural difficulties for otologists during cholesteatoma surgery or cochlear implantation (Rubini et al., 2020; Roberson et al., 2006). It is also reported in few cases that the ST along with its belly and tendon might be surgically removed to provide maximum exposure to the retrotympanic space (Rubini et al., 2020). And these procedures might cause iatrogenic injuries to the facial nerve due to its close anatomical relationship with the ST muscle (Rubini et al., 2020). Despite its high surgical relevance, studies regarding the morphometrics of ST muscle and its relationship with the FN are largely missing in the literature.

Studies (year)	Region	Sample size of ST	Type of study& mode of measurement	Age (Years)	Male	Female	Left	Right
Cheng and Gan (2007)	USA	12	Adult cadaveric dissection	71 (51–92) years	6	6	NR	NR
Ramirez Aristeguie- ta et al. (2010)	Mestizo race, Columbia	23	Adult cadaveric dissection; manual	NR	21	2	12	11
Beger et al. (2019)	Turkey	24	Fetal cadaveric dissection; digital	24.27±3.24 weeks	6	6	NR	NR
Wojciechowski et al. (2020)	Poland	16	Adult cadaveric dissection; MicroCT- digital	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Rubini et al. (2020)	Italy	11	Adult cadaveric dissection; endoscopic-digital	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Beger et al. (2020)	Turkey	20	Adult cadaveric dissection; digital	75.70±13.75 years	5	5	10	10

ST: stapedius muscle; NR: not reported

Table 2. Outcomes of the different studies on ST size values.

Studies (year) & Region	Number of measured ST	Gender/ Side	ST belly length (mm)	ST tendon length (mm)	ST belly width (mm)	ST ten- don width (mm)	Total Stape- dius length (mm)	Distance between ST and Fn
Cheng and Gan (2007) - USA	12	Overall	NR	0.99±0.09	NR	0.40±0.07	NR	NR
Ramirez Aristeguieta et al. (2010) – COLUMBIA	23	Overall Male Female Left Right	4.52±0.41 4.54±0.37 4.25±0.25 4.58±0.34 4.54±0.61	1.27±0.20 1.26±0.19 1.40±0.10 1.28±0.16 1.28±0.21	NR NR NR NR NR	NR NR NR NR NR	5.80 ± 0.61 5.80 ± 0.45 5.65 ± 0.15 5.91 ± 0.37 5.79 ± 0.45	NR
Beger et al. (2019) - TURKEY	24	Overall Left Right	NR NR NR	1.27±0.30 1.02 1.12	NR NR NR	0.45±0.08 0.35 0.46	NR NR NR	NR
Wojciechowski et al. (2020) - POLAND	16	Overall	2.98±0.51	1.29±0.50	1.26±0.29	0.35±0.12	4.34±0.74	1.35±0.30
Rubini et al. (2020) –ITALY	11	Overall	NR	NR	NR	NR	4.10±0.90	NR
Beger et al. (2020) – TURKEY	20	Overall Male Female Left Right	3.53±0.88 3.90±0.91 3.15±0.71 3.56±1.08 3.49±0.69	1.27±0.54 1.23±0.61 1.32±0.49 1.34±0.62 1.20±0.46	1.32±0.33 1.44±0.32 1.19±0.31 1.35±0.39 1.28±0.28	0.39±0.08 0.37±0.08 0.41±0.08 0.42±0.09 0.36±0.07	4.80±1.13 5.14±1.18 4.47±1.04 4.91±1.24 4.70±1.07	1.18±0.19 1.14±0.13 1.22±0.23 1.21±0.15 1.16±0.22

ST: stapedius muscle; Fn: Facial nerve; NR: not reported

Therefore we have done a quantitative synthesis on the stapedius muscle to derive a standard dataset. The main findings of our systematic review were as follows: (i) the mean ST muscle belly length and width were about 3.77 mm and 1.29 mm; (ii) the mean ST tendon length and width were 1.24 mm and 0.40 mm; (iii) the mean length of entire stapedius muscle was about 4.9 mm; (iv) the mean distance between ST tendon and FN was 1.26 mm; (v) there were no statistically significant differences between gender and sides of the outcomes.

Although the ST muscle with its tendon has been known for many years in humans, the classical anatomical textbooks failed to present standard measurements. It is very clear from our systematic review that the majority of studies have measured the length of the ST tendon from apex of the pyramidal prominence to neck of the stapes (Ramirez Aristeguieta et al., 2010; Beger et al., 2019; Wojciechowski et al., 2020; Rubini et al., 2020; Beger et al., 2020). While the muscle belly from reported studies was measured by standard procedures ranging from excavation of the pyramid (Beger et al., 2020), radiological approach (Wojciechowski et al., 2020) and removal of the entire muscle complex (Prasad et al., 2019).

It was surprising to note that the ST tendon length $(1.27 \pm 0.30 \text{ mm})$ and width $(0.45 \pm 0.08 \text{ mm})$ in 24 fetal temporal bones seemed to have reached adult shape and size in the fetal period itself (Beger et al., 2019). These measurements are almost similar to the mean data presented (Table 2) from the adult sample (Ramirez Aristeguieta et al., 2010; Wojciechowski et al., 2020; Rubini et al., 2020; Beger et al., 2020). This interpretation give us a ground to argue that the muscle belly and tendon size does not change after birth and is similar to the size of adults as reported by few authors (Beger et al., 2019; Wojciechowski and Skadorwa, 2019). Our findings demonstrated that the ST muscle belly length was shown to vary between populations. While the Poland population had the shortest ST belly length, the Colombian population presented with the longest ST belly. However, the ST tendon length remained more or less same in all the studies. The reason for this variation in muscle belly length could be due to the methods of measurements they have used (Table 3).

Table 3. ST measurement m	ethods used in th	le included studies.
---------------------------	-------------------	----------------------

Studies (year)	Points of the measurements of ST muscle
Cheng and Gan (2007) - USA	NR
Ramirez Aristeguieta et al., 2010 - COLUMBIA	Muscle belly: from the most dorso-lateral origin of the muscle to the pyramidal process Tendon: from pyramidal process to its insertion into the neck of the stapes.
Beger et al. (2019) - TURKEY	Muscle belly: was not measured Tendon: from the apex of the pyramidal eminence to the neck of the stapes and width of the tendon at the middle part.
Wojciechowski et al. (2020) - PO- LAND	Whole muscle was measured in the plane of the lateral semicircular canal from the pyra- midal eminence to the neck of the stapes.
Rubini et al. (2020) -ITALY	Whole muscle was measured in antero-posterior distance from the posterior aspect of the stapes capitulum along the line of the ST tendon to the anterior aspect of the Fn in the descending portion.
Beger et al. (2020) - TURKEY	The pyramid was curetted or drilled with diamond burr to measure the muscle, tendon from the pyramidal eminence to the neck of the stapes.

NR: not reported; Fn: Facial nerve

Nevertheless, concordance was noticed between direct anatomical and radiological measurements. In relation to the width of the ST muscle belly and tendon, all included studies did not show any significant difference in values. For total length of the stapedius muscle, the Columbian population had the longest values with the Italian population having the shortest. Comparison between the measured parameters of the population may be relevant during surgery on the middle ear (Tccar et al., 2000; Silverstein et al., 1998), but also in the possible variations in the directions (or) distances between critical structures (Beger et al., 2020; Wojciechowski et al., 2020). Keeping this in mind, we analyzed the mean distance between ST tendon and FN. Only two studies, one based on dissection (Beger et al., 2020) and the other by microtomography (Wojciechowski et al., 2020) have reported the mean distance between ST and FN: it was 1.26 \pm 0.24 mm, ranging from 1.14 \pm 0.13 mm (Turkey) to 1.35 ± 0.30 (Poland) with no statistically significant differences despite the different measurement methods used.

These data might help surgeons to estimate how much space is there to operate or their choice on kinds of prosthesis or surgical tools they use in otosclerosis surgeries. Role of ST tendon in otosclerosis surgeries is controversial. Some studies stated that the ST tendon cut was quite common and results are satisfactory even without reconstruction of the tendon. However, preservation of the ST tendon was advocated by several surgeons in the hope of obtaining better functional outcomes (Fowler, 1960; Causse et al., 1997; Silverstein et al., 1998). Few studies reported that ST-tendon-preserving is desirable when the pyramidal process is at the same or higher level than the attachment of the tendon onto the neck of the stapes (Colletti et al., 1988; Dubreuil et al., 1990). In two-thirds of cases; however, the pyramidal process is below the neck of the stapes. In these cases, reconstruction of the ST tendon is preferred (Causse et al., 1997). Our data on morphometrics of tendon will help in reconstructing ST tendon in all the above described cases.

Conclusions

It is important for surgeons to be aware of standard morphometric dataset of ST muscle and tendon. In this systematic review of the stapedius muscle, we confirm that the belly and tendon does not change from intrauterine period to adulthood. There is concordance between direct anatomic measurements and radiologic measurements of the stapedius muscle. The microarchitecture of stapedius muscle and its distance with facial nerve is also very important during both retrotympanic surgery and facial nerve surgery such as decompression and nerve grafting.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors would like to thank Dr. Sameer Qureshi, consultant orthopedic surgeon, CHL medical

center hospital, Ujjain for providing the schematic diagrams. We also thank Prof. Dr. Reginald P. Sequeira, Arabian Gulf University for reviewing our manuscript.

REFERENCES

ARNOLD W, HÄUSLER R (2007) Otosclerosis and stapes surgery. *Adv Otorhinolaryngol*, 65: 119-126.

BEGER O, KOÇ T, KARAGÜL Mİ, ÖZDEMIR DL, MÜDÜROĞLU F, CINTACIOIU DG, LE HT, VAYISOĞLU Y, YILMAZ SN, OLGUNUS ZK, TALAS DÜ (2019) Evaluation of the stapedial tendon growth dynamic in human fetuses. *Surg Radiol Anat*, 41(7): 833-839.

BEGER O, VAYISOĞLU Y, AKBULUT Ş, TAGHIPOUR P, ÇAKIR S, TALAS DÜ (2020) Microsurgical anatomy of the stapedius muscle in adult cadavers. *Anat Sci Int*, 95(1): 540-547.

CAUSSE V, VINCENT R, MICHAT M, GHERINI S (1997) Stapedius tendon reconstruction: technique and results. *Ear Nose Throat*, 76(4): 260-269.

CHENG T, GAN RZ (2007) Mechanical properties of stapedial tendon in human middle ear. *J Biomech Eng*, 129(6): 913-918.

COLLETTI V, SITTONI V, FIORINO F (1988) Stapedotomy with and without stapedius tendon preservation versus stapedectomy: long term results. *Am J Otol*, 9(2): 136-141.

DUBREUIL C, DUMAREST D, BOULUD B (1990) Conservation du muscle de l'etrier dans le traitement de l'otospongiose. A propos de 48 cas. *Revue de Laryngologie*, 111(1): 23-26.

ELOVIKOV AM, SELIANINOV AA, LILENKO SV, NIGMATULLINA SV (2014) The biomechanical prerequisites for the preservation of stapedius muscle tendon during stapedioplasty. *Vestn Otorhinolaryngol*, 5: 41-44.

FOWLER E (1960) Anterior crurotomy with footplate fracture. *Arch Otolaryngol*, 71: 296-299.

GROS A, VATOVEC J, ŽARGI M (2003) Preservation of the stapedial tendon in surgery for otosclerosis. *Int Congr Ser*, 1240: 89-92.

MOHER D, LIBERATI A, TETZLAF J, ALTMAN DG, PRISMA GROUP (2009) Preferred reporting

items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med*, 6(7): e1000097.

PAAW P (1615) De Humani Corporis Ossibus. *Leiden*. Publisher.

PRASAD KC, AZEEM MOHIYUDDIN SM, ANJALI PK, HARSHITA TR, INDU VARSHA G, BRINDHA HS (2019) Microsurgical anatomy of stapedius muscle: anatomy revisited, redefined with potential impact in surgeries. *Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*, 71(1): 14-18.

RAMIREZ ARISTEGUIETA LM, BALLESTEROS ACUÑA LE, SANDOVAL ORTIZ GP (2010) Tensor veli palatini and tensor tympani muscles: Anatomical, functional and symptomatic links. *Acta Otorrinolaringol Esp*, 61: 26-33.

ROBERSON JB, KUNDA LD, STIDHAM KR, INSERRA MM, CHOE W, TONOKAWA L (2006) Modifications of standard cochlear implantation techniques for children under 18 months of age. *Cochlear Implants Int*, 7: 207-213.

RODRÍGUEZ VÁZQUEZ JF (2010) Morfodiferenciación del músculo del estribo. Aportación personal [Morph differentiation of the stapedius muscle. Personal contribution]. *Anales de la Real Academia Nacional de Medicina*, 127(1): 153-169.

RUBINI A, JUFAS N, MARCHIONI D, SAXBY AJ, KONG JHK, PATEL N (2020) The endoscopic relationship of the stapedius muscle to the facial nerve: implications for retrotympanic surgery. *Otol Neurotol*, 41:64-69.

SHEA J (1958) Fenestration of the oval window. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol*, 67(4): 932-951.

SILVERSTEIN H, HESTER TO, ROSENBERG SI, DEEMS DA (1998) Preservation of the stapedius tendon in laser stapes surgery. *Laryngoscope*, 108(10): 1453-1458.

STANDRING S, BORLEY NR, COLLINS P, CROSSMAN AR, GATZOULIS MA, HEALY JC (2008) Gray's Anatomy: The Anatomical Basis of Clinical Practice. Elsevier, London.

TCCAR E, TEKDEMIR I, ASLAN A, ELHAN A, DEDA H (2000) Radiological anatomy of the intratemporal course of facial nerve. *Clin Anat*, 13(2): 83-87.

WOJCIECHOWSKI T, SKADORWA T (2019) A few points regarding recent studies on stapedius muscle anatomy. *Surg Radiol Anat*, 41(9): 1099-1100.

WOJCIECHOWSKI T, SKADORWA T, NÈVE DE MÉVERGNIES JG, NIEMCZYK K (2020) Microtomographic morphometry of the stapedius muscle and its tendon. *Anat Sci Int*, 95(1): 31-37.

WRIGHT JL, ETHOLM B (1973) Anomalies of the middle-ear muscles. *J Laryngol Otol*, 87: 281-288.

ZAWAWI F, VARSHNEY R, SCHLOSS MD (2014) Shortened stapedius tendon: a rare cause of conductive hearing loss. *J Laryngol Otol*, 128(1): 98-100.