# Disparity in coronary artery dimensions in diabetic and non-diabetic population undergoing quantitative coronary angiography in South India: a 2-year prospective analysis

## Paul A. Divia<sup>1</sup>, Ranajit Das<sup>2</sup>, K. Subramanyam<sup>3</sup>, S.M. Ashraf<sup>4</sup>, J. Ezhilan<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Anatomy, Father Muller Medical College, Kankanady, Mangalore, Karnataka, India , Post code-575008

<sup>2</sup> Department Division of Data Analytics, Bioinformatics and Structural Biology (DABS), Yenepoya Research Centre, Yenepoya (Deemed to be) University, Karnataka, India

<sup>3</sup> Department of Cardiology, Srinivas Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, Mukka, Surathkal, Mangaluru, Karnataka, India, Postcode - 574146

<sup>4</sup> Department of Cardio Vascular Sciences, Sahakarana Hrudayalaya, Pariyaram Medical College, Kerala, India

<sup>5</sup> Department of Cardiology, Madras Medical Mission, Chennai, India

## SUMMARY

Diabetic subjects have higher prevalence as well as increased risk for coronary artery disease than non-diabetic counterparts. The study was aimed to seek the disparity of vessel diameters among diabetic and non-diabetic patients undergoing quantitative coronary angiography (QCA). The objectives were to compare coronary artery measurements (CAM) between diabetic and non-diabetic patients and also to find the respective segment of coronary artery affected greatest among diabetics by QCA. A cross sectional study was conducted in four cities of India after procuring the sanction for the same from the ethical committee of the pre-selected hospitals of four states in India. Informed consents were obtained. Post CABG, post PCI patients and patient being diabetic for  $\geq 5$ years were also excluded from the study.

Among total sample population, non-flow lim-

**Corresponding author:** 

iting coronaries were seen in 1100(27.5%) cases [167 in NFL diabetic and 933 in NFL non-diabetic group]. We had 2890 (72.2%) patients with diseased coronaries. Ten segments of the coronary arteries were taken for diameter measurements namely, LMCA, LAD (O, P), DIAG, LCx (O, P), OM, RCA (O, P), RAM. These coronary diameters were indexed to body surface area (BSA) (mean diameter mm/m<sup>2</sup>BSA). For all arterial segments both indexed and non-indexed measurements of diabetic patients with NFL coronaries had significantly (p<0.01) smaller arterial segments except for RCA-o. Reduced dimensions after post balloon dilatations of PCI, diffused lesions can result in increased chances for in-stent restenosis among diabetics leading to poor outcome following PCI.

**Key words:** Coronary artery measurements – Diabetic patients – Specific variation – Gender differences – Multi-center study

Dr. Paul A. Divia. Department of Anatomy, Father Muller Medical College, Kankanady, Mangalore, Karnataka, India , Post code-575008 . Phone: +91 8075789347. E-mail: divia\_manoj@yahoo.com

Submitted: August 10, 2022. Accepted: October 13, 2022

https://doi.org/10.52083/SANO2959

## **ABBREVIATIONS:**

QCA - Quantitative coronary angiography LMCA - Left main coronary artery LAD (O, P) - Left anterior descending artery (Ostium, Proximal part) DIAG - Diagonal LCx (O, P) - Left circumflex coronary artery OM - Obtuse Marginal RCA (O, P) - Right coronary artery RAM - Ramus BSA - Body surface area

# INTRODUCTION

The International Diabetes Federation (IDF) estimated that 415 million, i.e., 8.8% of the world's population have diabetes mellitus (DM). Among them, type 2 (T2DM) prevail of 91% and a rise to 642 million is predicted by 2040 (Atlas, 2015; Leon and Maddox, 2015). Coronary artery disease (CAD) is a major cause of death and disability among people with T2DM (Atlas, 2015, Hertzel et al., 2010). Diabetic subjects have higher prevalence as well as increased risk for CAD than non-diabetic counterparts (Singh et al., 2013; Haffner et al., 1998). Risk of CAD can be increased in T2DM patients along with associated risk factors, such as age, hypertension, dyslipidemia, obesity, physical inactivity, and stress (Kannel, 1987). Worldwide statistics of 2007 denoted 135 million people affected with diabetes. India had the leading statistics of 40.9 million people with diabetes (Sicree, 2006). T2DM is on the verge of becoming a pandemic in India (Wild et al., 2004). Statistic predictions denote that, by the year 2025, 80.9 million people will have diabetes in India, with evidence of increased prevalence of CAD among T2DM patients (Sicree, 2006; Reddy and Yusuf,1998).

It has been reported that the phenomenon of high prevalence of diabetes reported among migrant Asian Indians (Mckeigue et al., 1991) has a wide spread from urban India as well as to rural areas (Mohan et al.,2008). Increased prevalence of diabetes in urban Indians ranges from 2.1-16% from the year 1970-2006 (Ahuja, 1979; Ramachandran et al., 1988; Ramachandran et al., 2001; Mohan et al., 2006). Evidences of endothelial dysfunction, arterial stiffness and carotid intimal medial thickness (IMT) were found to be reduced in diabetes patients compared to age- and sex-matched non-diabetic subjects (Mohan et al., 2000; Ravikumar et al., 2002). An intimal medial thickness (IMT) value is a cut-off for defining carotid atherosclerosis, and studies indicated that diabetic subjects had more incidences for carotid atherosclerosis compared to non-diabetic subjects (Mohan et al., 2000).

The left main (LMCA) coronary artery and its branches were observed as narrower in diabetic patients than in non-diabetics when the diameters of both were compared using QCA. The comparisons were done after normalizing patient's BSA by Mosteller formula between two study groups to rule out the possible bias which may have an effect on coronary artery diameter (Adil et al., 2012). Marked angiographic evidence of narrowing of coronary artery segments and long segment lesions was found in diabetic patients with CAD (Stein et al., 1995). The major arteries supplying the heart are the right coronary artery (RCA) and LMCA, with left anterior descending (LAD) and circumflex artery (LCx) for LMCA as the main branches, and the right posterior descending artery (PDA) for RCA (Aricatt et al., 2022). Evidence of narrowing of lumen diameter of coronary arteries in patients with diabetes and several factors affecting the lumen diameters have been studied previously in different countries on different populations (Melidonis et al., 1999; Gui et al., 2009; Mosseri et al., 1998; Muhammad et al., 2012).

Coronary artery diameter is one of the most important factors that affect the procedure and outcome of percutaneous coronary angioplasty (PCI), as well as coronary bypass operations (CABG) (Saikrishna et al., 2006). Post angioplasty studies among diabetic patients marked an increased risk of progressive CAD and coronary artery re-stenosis after stent implantation. The predictors of in-stent re-stenosis were decreased dimensions of coronary arteries, long segment lesion, and decreased body mass index (Moses et al., 2004; West et al., 2004).

Currently, there is no other multi-center study with international recognition among South In-

dian population regarding the comparison between coronary dimensions between diabetic and non-diabetic population. The study was aimed to seek the disparity of vessel diameters among diabetic and non-diabetic patients undergoing quantitative coronary angiography (QCA). The objectives under consideration were to compare coronary artery dimensions between diabetic and non-diabetic patients and also to find the respective segment of coronary artery most greatly affected among diabetics by QCA.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Study population**

A cross-sectional study was conducted in four cities of India. Hospitals were purposely selected according to the number of cardiac patients identified by them. The age of the study subjects was given a cut-off at 75 years due to marginal benefits marked during the follow-ups. Hence, a conservative approach is proven to be appropriate for the above-mentioned age, which itself indicates a poor prognosis with an average yearly mortality rate of 33-35% (Azad and Lemay, 2014). The inclusion criteria were all patients who undergo percutaneous coronary angiographic procedure due to abnormalities in the normal cardiac parameters after obtaining their informed consent. We divided the study population into two groups. Patients who were known for more than five years diabetic or were taking oral hypoglycemic or insulin therapy were enrolled in diabetic group. In non-diabetic group patients had no previous history of diabetes mellitus or patients with a controlled (an ideal glycated hemoglobin levels (HbA1c) of 48 mmol/mol (6.5%) or below) diabetic history less than five years (Srinivasan et al., 2016). Diabetic history was confirmed when patient is taking oral anti hypoglycemic drugs, insulin or recent fasting blood sugar (FBS >126 mg/dl) on two consecutive occasions. Lipid levels were obtained from hospital laboratory.

Exclusion criteria were patients with a previous history of CABG and recanalized normal looking coronary arteries with or without in-stent restenosis coronary arteries as well as patients being diabetic for five or more than five years for assessing non flow limiting (NFL) coronary artery dimensions (Srinivasan et al., 2016). The sample size was estimated by consulting a statistician and using the statistical software G\* Power 3.0.10 and a 1100 NFL patients among total sample population of 4000 consecutive patients were included in the study by convenience sampling [167 in NFL diabetic and 933 in NFL non-diabetic group]. All ethical principles for human research were followed and Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee of all the hospitals from which data were collected.

#### Database pooling and statistical analysis

i. LMCA and RCA along with its main branches were assessed for the vessel morphology at the ostium (o) and proximal (p) segment among normal cases by stenosis analysis program. Ten segments of the coronary arteries were taken for diameter measurements namely, LMCA, LAD (o, p), diagonal (DIAG), LCx (o, p), obtuse marginal (OM), RCA (O, P), ramus (RAM). This program had incorporated an automated coronary analysis package of the Innova 2100 IQ Cath at an AW4.4 workstation or of the Siemens QCA – Scientific coronary analysis. The gender-wise categorization of the data was done to denote the mean differences in the artery measurements.

ii. Patient's anthropometric measurements were done using the fore mentioned relevant equipments. Body mass index (BMI) and body surface area (BSA) were calculated. BMI was calculated by the relevant formula weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters. BSA was calculated from patient's height and weight measurements using Mosteller's formula. The diameters of the ten segments of coronary artery from angiogram study samples were indexed (adjusted) to BSA (mean diameter mm/m<sup>2</sup>BSA).

Calibration assessments from QCA (Hermiller et al., 1992) systems were carried out by the same method in which the coronary catheter was employed for angiography procedure. This was used as calibrating the object by automated edge detection technique resulting in corresponding calibration factors (mm/pixel) and the vessel contour were detected by operator independent edge detection algorithms. Angiographic views were selected for calibration assessment by minimizing the foreshortening of the coronary segments by separating them from adjacent intervening structures. Confounding variable was controlled by matching characteristic of the two groups such as age, gender, BMI and BSA. All QCA images were also reviewed by two cardiologists from each center for the definition of normal vessels and for the subsequent quantitative analysis by the double blinding method. Both the observers from each center were blinded regarding the patient identity, and interobserver variability was accounted during statistical analysis, and bias was controlled. All information was recorded on a standard proforma. All arterial dimensions were compared between the diabetic (N=167) and non-diabetic group (N=933) using Welch's t-test. Statistical analysis of the present study was done using GraphPad Prism v9.

## RESULTS

Based on QCA analysis, among total sample population, NFL coronaries were seen in 1100 (27.5%) cases (167 in NFL diabetic and 933 in NFL non-diabetic group). We had 2890 (72.2%) patients with diseased coronaries. Physical and demographic parameters were assessed. The mean age of the patients was  $54.50 \pm 5.5$  vs.  $55.9 \pm 7.7$  years (range 30-75 years) between the diabetic and non-diabetic groups. BMI and BSA of the samples were calculated. Mean BMI in diabetic and non-diabetic groups was  $26.9\pm2.5$  vs.  $25.2\pm3.5$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> (range 33.30-21.26 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Mean body surface area (BSA) was  $2 \pm 0.09$  m<sup>2</sup> vs.  $1.82 \pm 0.13$  m<sup>2</sup> (range 2.1-1.42 m<sup>2</sup>) in diabetic and non-diabetic groups.

For all arterial segments, both indexed and non-indexed measurements of diabetic patients with NFL coronaries had significantly (p<0.01) smaller arterial segments compared to the non-diabetic group with NFL coronaries except



Fig. 2.- Difference in arterial dimensions between diabetic and non-diabetic patients. A: Difference in diameter for LAD-p. B: Difference in diameter of DIAG. C: Difference in diameter of LCX-p. D: Difference in diameter of OM.

Welch's t-test was performed between the between diabetic and non-diabetic patients and *p*-value<0.05 was considered significant. \*\*\*\* designates *p*-value <0.0001

Sl.no.	CAS	Mean diameter among NFL diabetic patients (N=167)		Mean diameter among NFL non-diabetic patients (N=933)		MD +/- SEM	Welch's-t-value	p-value
		n	CAM (mm)	n	CAM (mm)			
1.	LMCA	167	$3.97 \pm 0.64$	927	4.16 ± 0.69	$0.19 \pm 0.05$	3.55	0.0005
2.	LAD-0	167	$2.95 \pm 0.59$	930	$3.18\pm0.62$	$0.22 \pm 0.05$	4.53	< 0.0001
3.	LAD-p	167	$2.94\pm0.56$	929	$3.22\pm0.59$	$0.28 \pm 0.04$	6.02	< 0.0001
4.	DIAG	167	$1.46 \pm 0.39$	912	$1.76 \pm 0.44$	$0.29 \pm 0.03$	8.91	< 0.0001
5.	LCX-o	167	$2.88\pm0.67$	933	$3.04 \pm 0.62$	$0.16 \pm 0.05$	3.02	0.0028
6.	LCX-p	167	$2.75\pm0.55$	931	$3.02 \pm 0.62$	$0.27 \pm 0.04$	5.84	< 0.0001
7.	ОМ	166	$1.84 \pm 0.46$	915	$2.10 \pm 0.50$	$0.26 \pm 0.03$	6.64	< 0.0001
8.	RCA-o	167	$3.02 \pm 0.75$	917	$3.15 \pm 0.66$	$0.12 \pm 0.06$	1.97	0.0492
9.	RCA-p	167	$2.78\pm0.67$	926	$3.05 \pm 0.65$	$0.27 \pm 0.05$	4.93	< 0.0001
10.	RAM			102	$2.25 \pm 0.49$			

Table 1. Differences in non-indexed coronary arterial dimensions between diabetic and non-diabetic patients.

*The CAM's been taken based on QCA reports and is represented as mean difference*± Standard error mean of the diabetic and non-diabetic patient samples.

*Statistical test used: Welch's-t test.* p<0.001<sup>\*\*\*</sup>indicates very highly significant difference, p<0.01<sup>\*\*</sup>indicates highly significant difference, p<0.05<sup>\*</sup>indicates significant difference, p>0.05 indicates no significant difference between non- indexed NFL CAM of diabetic and non-diabetic patients.

Abbreviations: CAS – Coronary artery segments, N- samples, n- number of samples were CAM was measurable out of total N, CAM – Coronary artery measurements, LMCA - Left main coronary artery, LAD (O, P) - Left anterior descending artery (Ostium, Proximal part), DIAG - Diagonal branch of LAD, LCx (O, P) - Left circumflex coronary artery (Ostium, Proximal part), OM - Obtuse Marginal branch of LCx, RCA (O, P) - Right coronary artery (Ostium, Proximal part), RAM – Ramus branch of coronary artery, QCA- Quantitative coronary angiography.

for RCA-o, where the mean difference between the two groups was not statistically significant (Table 1).The most striking difference between the two groups were observed for LAD-p (mean difference=0.28 mm, t= 6.023, P<0.0001, Fig. 2A), DIAG (mean difference=0.3 mm, t= 8.906, P<0.0001, Fig. 2B), LCX-p (mean difference=0.28 mm, t= 5.849, P<0.0001, Fig. 2C), and obtuse marginal (mean difference=0.26 mm, t= 6.641, P<0.0001, Fig. 2D).

Gender-wise analysis of coronary artery dimensions in the present study showed that, except for the OM branch of LCx and RAM branch of LCA, all other eight segments taken for analysis had significant differences between the coronary artery measurements (CAM) among males and females in both diabetic and non-diabetic groups. The differences were highly significant (p < 0.001). Consistent with the previous studies, we found that men are highly significantly more prone to diabetes than women (Fig. 1). However, this difference disappeared when diameters were indexed to BSA in both groups. The diameters of the normal non-indexed CAS were not measurable in certain segments due to anatomical peculiarities.

# DISCUSSION

Diabetic subjects have higher prevalence, as well as increased risk for CAD than non-diabetic counterparts (Singh et al., 2013; Haffner et al., 1998). Low control of diabetes and hypertension has been reported in a study in India (Shashank et al., 2008). The improved awareness and enhanced treatment can control cardiovascular risk factors in participants with known diabetes (Brown, 2013; Gupta, 2014).

CAD in diabetic patients is found to be more severe and follow a more diffused pattern than non-diabetics (Melidonis et al., 1999; Gui et al., 2009). This could be accounted for in various pathophysiological mechanisms in diabetic patients such as hyperglycemia, hyperinsulinism and insulin resistance (Melidonis et al., 1999). In addition, narrowing of coronary artery should also be viewed as an important factor for the increased prevalence of CAD among diabetics. Although a number of prior studies have concluded that the disparity in coronary artery diameters between diabetics are non-diabetics is significant, there have been contradictory opinions regarding this concept as well. In the present study, there was no significant difference in the mean ages, BMI and BSA between the diabetic and non-diabetic groups. This is similar to the studies of Melidonis et al.(1999), and Gui et al (2009).

Angiographic comparison of different segments of the coronary artery revealed a tendency towards narrowing of artery diameters among diabetic patients with CAD (Stein et al., 1995). In the present study, all arterial segments of diabetic patients have significantly (P<0.01) smaller arterial segments compared to the NFL non-diabetic group, except RCA-o segment, where the mean difference between the two groups was not statistically significant. This contradicts the postulated theory that the predominance of stenosis in RCA can be due to the sluggish blood flow which coexists in RCA in comparison to other vessels, and the increased plasma viscosity among diabetics. The present study reports the severity of stenosis is the same for both left and right systems of coronary in patients with diabetes. In contrast, few authors from different countries have found the RCA to be significantly more frequently involved towards narrowing of artery diameters among diabetic patients with CAD (Adil et al., 2012; Melidonis et al., 1999; Gui et al., 2009; Mosseri et al., 1998; Kabir et al., 2017).

There is also evidence of smaller luminal diameter of the LAD among diabetics (Adil et al., 2012; Gui et al., 2009; Mosseri et al., 1998; Muhammad et al., 2012; Kabir et al., 2017) and distal LCx (Adil et al., 2012). The present study also indicates that the most striking differences in artery dimensions between the NFL diabetic and NFL non-diabetic group were observed for LAD-p (mean difference=0.28 mm, P<0.0001) with its DIAG branch (mean difference=0.3 mm, P<0.0001). In contrast, however, in a Greek Caucasian population, no statistically significant difference in vessel diameters between diabetics and non-diabetics for all segments of LAD was found (Melidonis et al., 1999). We had differences in artery dimensions between the NFL diabetic and NFL non-diabetic group of LCX-p (mean difference=0.28 mm, P<0.0001). However, some studies indicated the proximal LCx dimensions in diabetics and non-diabetics had no statistically significant difference between



Fig. 1.- Gender specific difference in coronary arterial dimensions between diabetic and non-diabetic patients.

the two groups (Melidonis et al., 1999; Muhammad et al., 2012; Pajunen et al., 1997).

Gender-wise analysis of coronary artery dimensions in the present study showed that except for the OM branch of LCx and RAM branch of LCA, all other eight segments taken for analysis had significant difference between the CAM among males and females in both diabetic and non-diabetic groups. In general, the CAM of the male patients was greater than females in both LCA and RCA systems. However, this difference disappeared when diameters were indexed to BSA in both diabetic and non-diabetic groups. Similarly, an important observation of most QCA procedures involving coronary artery dimension analysis has revealed that women have smaller CAM compared to men (Yang et al., 2006; Raut et al., 2017).

Coronary dimensions vary throughout its length giving rise to different vessel diameters, a reference data could not be applied for comparison totally. Thus, the reduced CAM projects on its therapeutic implications, especially during revascularization procedures like CABG and PCI in diabetic patients. Reduced dimensions after post balloon dilatations of PCI, diffused lesions and prerequisite of longer stents can result in increased chances for in-stent re-stenosis and enhanced frequency of CAD among diabetics leading to poor outcome following PCI (West et al., 2004; Pajunen et al., 1997; Alonso, 2002; Fallow and Singh, 2004; Aronson and Edelman, 2010).

## CONCLUSION

For all arterial segments, both indexed and non-indexed measurements of diabetic patients with NFL coronaries had significantly smaller arterial segments compared to the non-diabetic group with NFL coronaries except for RCA-o, where the mean difference between the two groups was not statistically significant.

**Limitations:** We could not correct the CAM of the present study for the presence of left ventricular hypertrophy, which would have enhanced the study results.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

All authors hereby declare that their contribution was equal towards the formation of the manuscript.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All authors appreciate the great effort of chief cardiac technicians of the cardiac catheterization laboratories, K.S Hegde Medical Academy and Hospital, Karnataka, Madras Medical Mission (MMM), Chennai, India, Pariyaram Medical college, Kannur, Kerala, India, Care Hospital, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad, India for their timely help and assistance in the conduction of this study. All authors appreciate the great effort of Dr. Ranajith Das, Yenepoya Research Centre, Mangalore, Karnataka, India for analyzing and verifying the data of this study.

## REFERENCES

ADIL M, NADEEM M, HAFIZULLAH M, JAN H (2012) Comparison of left coronary artery diameter among diabetics and non-diabetics. *J Postgrad Med Institute*, 26(4).

AHUJA MMS (1979) Epidemiological studies on diabetes mellitus in India. *Epidemiology of diabetes in developing countries*. New Delhi: Interprint, 1(979): 29-38.

ALONSO JJ, DURÁN JM, GIMENO F, RAMOS B, SERRADOR A, FERNÁNDEZ-AVILÉS F (2002) Coronary angioplasty in diabetic patients. Current and future perspectives. *Rev Esp Cardiol*, 55(11): 1185-1200.

ARICATT DP, PRABHU A, AVADHANI R, SUBRAMANYAM K, MANZIL AS, EZHILAN J, DAS R (2022) A study of coronary dominance and its clinical significance. *Folia Morphol*, doi: 10.5603/FM.a2022.0005.

ARONSON D, EDELMAN ER (2010) Revascularization for coronary artery disease in diabetes mellitus: angioplasty, stents and coronary artery bypass grafting. *Rev Endocr Metab Dis*, 11(1): 75-86.

ATLAS D (2015) International diabetes federation. *IDF Diabetes Atlas*,  $7^{th}$  edn. Brussels, Belgium: International Diabetes Federation, 33.

AZAD N, LEMAY G (2014) Management of chronic heart failure in the older population. *J Geriatric Cardiol*, 11(4): 329.

BROWN TM, TANNER RM, CARSON AP, YUN H, ROSENSON RS, FARKOUH ME, WOOLLEY JM, THACKER EL, GLASSER SP, SAFFORD MM, MUNTNER P (2013) Awareness, treatment, and control of LDL cholesterol are lower among US adults with undiagnosed diabetes versus diagnosed diabetes. *Diabetes Care*, 36(9): 2734-2740.

EMERGING RISK FACTORS COLLABORATION (2010) Diabetes mellitus, fasting blood glucose concentration, and risk of vascular disease: a collaborative meta-analysis of 102 prospective studies. *Lancet*, 375(9733): 2215-2222.

FALLOW GD, SINGH J (2004) The prevalence, type and severity of cardiovascular disease in diabetic and non-diabetic patients: a matched-paired retrospective analysis using coronary angiography as the diagnostic tool. *Mol Cell Biochem*, 261(1): 263-269.

GUI MH, QIN GY, NING G, HONG J, LI XY, LÜ AK, SHEN WF, GAO X (2009) The comparison of coronary angiographic profiles between diabetic and nondiabetic patients with coronary artery disease in a Chinese population. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*, 85(2): 213-219.

GUPTA A, GUPTA R, SHARMA KK, LODHA S, ACHARI V, ASIRVATHAM AJ, BHANSALI A, GUPTA B, GUPTA S, JALI MV, MAHANTA TG, MAHESHWARI A, SABOO B, SINGH J, DEEDWANIA PC (2014) Prevalence of diabetes and cardiovascular risk factors in middle-class urban participants in India. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care*, 2(1): e000048.

HAFFNER SM, LEHTO S, RÖNNEMAA T, PYÖRÄLÄ K, LAAKSO M (1998) Mortality from coronary heart disease in subjects with type 2 diabetes and in nondiabetic subjects with and without prior myocardial infarction. *New England J Med*, 339(4): 229-234.

HERMILLER JB, CUSMA JT, SPERO LA, FORTIN DF, HARDING MB, BASHORE TM (1992) Quantitative and qualitative coronary angiographic analysis: review of methods, utility, and limitations. *Catheterization Cardiovasc Diag*, 25(2): 110-131.

KABIR CS, HAQ MM, CADER FA (2017) Disparity in coronary artery diameter in diabetic and nondiabetic subjects undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention in Bangladesh: a 2-year retrospective analysis. *Bangladesh Heart J*, 32(1): 23-28.

KANNEL WB (1987) Metabolic risk factors for coronary heart disease in women: perspective from the Framingham Study. *Am Heart J*, 114(2): 413-419.

LEON BM, MADDOX TM (2015) Diabetes and cardiovascular disease: epidemiology, biological mechanisms, treatment recommendations and future research. *World J Diabetes*, 6(13): 1246.

MCKEIGUE PM, SHAH B, MARMOT MG (1991) Relation of central obesity and insulin resistance with high diabetes prevalence and cardiovascular risk in South Asians. *Lancet*, 337(8738): 382-386.

MELIDONIS A, DIMOPOULOS V, LEMPIDAKIS E, HATZISSAVAS J, KOUVARAS G, STEFANIDIS A, FOUSSAS S (1999) Angiographic study of coronary artery disease in diabetic patients in comparison with nondiabetic patients. *Angiology*, 50(12): 997-1006.

MOHAN V, RAVIKUMAR R, SHANTHI RANI S, DEEPA R (2000) Intimal medial thickness of the carotid artery in South Indian diabetic and non-diabetic subjects: the Chennai Urban Population Study (CUPS). *Diabetologia*, 43(4): 494-499.

MOHAN V, DEEPA M, DEEPA R, SHANTHIRANI CS, FAROOQ S, GANESAN A, DATTA M (2006) Secular trends in the prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in urban South India - the Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES-17). *Diabetologia*, 49(6): 1175-1178.

MOHAN V, MATHUR P, DEEPA R, DEEPA M, SHUKLA DK, MENON GR, ANAND K, DESAI NG, JOSHI PP, MAHANTA J, THANKAPPAN KR, SHAH B (2008) Urban rural differences in prevalence of self-reported diabetes in India—The WHO–ICMR Indian NCD risk factor surveillance. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*, 80(1): 159-168.

MOSES JW, MEHRAN R, DANGAS GD, KOBAYASHI Y, LANSKY AJ, MINTZ GS, AYMONG ED, FAHY M, MOSES JW, STONE GW, LEON MB (2004) Short-and long-term results after multivessel stenting in diabetic patients. *JAm Coll Cardiol*, 43(8): 1348-1354.

MOSSERI M, NAHIR M, ROZENMAN Y, LOTAN C, ADMON D, RAZ I, GOTSMAN MS (1998) Diffuse narrowing of coronary arteries in diabetic patients: the earliest phase of coronary artery disease. *Cardiology*, 89(2): 103-110.

MUHAMMAD A, MUHAMMAD N, MOHAMMAD H, HIKMATULLAH J (2012) Comparison of left coronary artery diameter among diabetics and non-diabetics. *J Postgrad Med Instit*, 26(4).

PAJUNEN P, NIEMINEN MS, TASKINEN MR, SYVÄNNE M (1997) Quantitative comparison of angiographic characteristics of coronary artery disease in patients with noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus compared with matched nondiabetic control subjects. *Am J Cardiol*, 80(5): 550-556.

RAMACHANDRAN A, JALI MV, MOHAN V, SNEHALATHA C, VISWANATHAN M (1988) High prevalence of diabetes in an urban population in south India. *Brit Med J*, 297(6648): 587-590.

RAMACHANDRAN A, SNEHALATHA C, KAPUR A, VIJAY V, MOHAN V, DAS AK, RAO PV, YAJNIK CS, PRASANNA KUMAR KM, NAIR JD (2001) High prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in India: National Urban Diabetes Survey. *Diabetologia*, 44(9): 1094-1101.

RAUT BK, PATIL VN, CHERIAN G (2017) Coronary artery dimensions in normal Indians. *Indian Heart J*, 69(4): 512-514.

RAVIKUMAR R, DEEPA R, SHANTHIRANI CS, MOHAN V (2002) Comparison of carotid intima-media thickness, arterial stiffness, and brachial artery flow mediated dilatation in diabetic and nondiabetic subjects (The Chennai Urban Population Study [CUPS-9]). *Am J Cardiol*, 90(7): 702-707.

REDDY KS, YUSUF S (1998) Emerging epidemic of cardiovascular disease in developing countries. *Circulation*, 97(6): 596-601.

SAIKRISHNA C, TALWAR S, GULATI G, KUMAR AS (2006) Normal coronary artery dimensions in Indians. *Indian J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*, 22(3): 159-164.

SHASHANK RJ, DAS AK, VIJAY VJ, MOHAN V (2008) Challenges in diabetes care in India: sheer numbers, lack of awareness and inadequate control. *J Assoc Physic India*, 56(6): 443-450.

SICREE R (2006) Diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance. *Diabetes atlas*, 15-109.

SINGH GM, DANAEI G, FARZADFAR F, STEVENS GA, WOODWARD M, WORMSER D, KAPTOGE S, WHITLOCK G, QIAO Q, LEWINGTON S, DI ANGELANTONIO E, VANDER HOORN S, LAWES CMM, ALI MK, MOZAFFARIAN D, EZZATI M (2013) The age-specific quantitative effects of metabolic risk factors on cardiovascular diseases and diabetes: a pooled analysis. *PloS One*, 8(7): e65174.

SRINIVASAN MP, KAMATH PK, BHAT NM, PAI ND, BHAT RU, SHAH TD, ... & MAHABALA C (2016) Severity of coronary artery disease in type 2 diabetes mellitus: Does the timing matter? *Indian Heart J*, 68(2): 158-163.

STEIN B, WEINTRAUB WS, GEBHART SS, COHEN-BERNSTEIN CL, GROSSWALD R, LIBERMAN HA, DOUGLAS JS Jr, KING III SB (1995) Influence of diabetes mellitus on early and late outcome after percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. *Circulation*, 91(4): 979-989.

WEST NE, RUYGROK PN, DISCO CM, WEBSTER MW, LINDEBOOM WK, O'NEILL WW, MERCADO NF, SERRUYS PW (2004) Clinical and angiographic predictors of restenosis after stent deployment in diabetic patients. *Circulation*, 109(7): 867-873.

WILD S, ROGLIC G, GREEN A, SICREE R, KING H (2004) Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. *Diabetes Care*, 27(5): 1047-1053.

YANG F, MINUTELLO RM, BHAGAN S, SHARMA A, WONG SC (2006) The impact of gender on vessel size in patients with angiographically normal coronary arteries. *J Intervent Cardiol*, 19(4): 340-344.